

NLN Edmund J. Y. Pajarillo Health Informatics and Innovation Scholarship Rubric

Eligibility

- Applicants must be enrolled in accredited graduate level programs, having completed at least one year of study towards their degree by the end of the application period (June 2022).
- The NLN Foundation will give special consideration to nurses of color and members of other underserved populations interested in transitioning from practice to full-time teaching.
- The application process is open to the public, but preference will be given to NLN members.

Application Requirements Checklist

Each proposal must include the below content areas submitted in 2 pdfs.

PDF 1: Overview

1. The title of the project.
2. PI and other members of the project team.
3. Project Team members' affiliations and complete contact information.

PDF 2: Narrative (*Format is double spaced with 1 inch margin on all sides.*)

1. **Introduction:** Describe your need for support and clarity of purpose (Max. 250 words)
2. Description of your **Project, its contribution to nursing informatics, or innovations in nursing education or roles**, and the **category of the proposal** (Max. 3 pages). Based on the three categories listed below:
 - a. Categories:
 - i. Category 1: Projects that conceptualize and translate nursing informatics concepts and theories into new applications and systems to facilitate nursing education, practice, and science.
 - ii. Category 2: Projects that establish, expand, and influence future nurses' roles to further optimize and expand their marketability and professional demand.
 - iii. Category 3: Projects that create nursing educational technology to foster and enhance high impact practice (HIP) learning.
3. Describe how your study **links to NLN's mission statement and core values**. (Max. 250 words)
4. **2 Letters of Recommendation** addressed to the NLN Foundation. (Max. 500 words per letter)

Additional Documents

- **Budget Narrative.** Clearly itemize and explain each line item and costs requested for the project
- [Bio sketch form](#)

Please note that there is not a specific application template, however, each application should attempt to follow the order of the checklist and use headings indicative of these requirements. Incomplete applications will be automatically disqualified.

NLN Edmund J. Y. Pajarillo Health Informatics and Innovation Scholarship

The Proposal Review Rubric below is being used for the 2022 grant cycle. Components of the rubric are not weighted.

Applicable components that are missing will receive a 0 and the component will be included in the percentage score.

RUBRIC GUIDE	Excellent = 4	Good = 3	Fair = 2	Poor = 1	0 = component not addressed
Project title and team information included			Included	Some information missing	Not Included
One full year completed and feasibility of completion, i.e. IRB approval for research, dissertation proposal defended, capstone project approved	Completion very feasible	Feasible	Fairly feasible	Little feasibility	Not feasible
Project is consistent with selected category of nursing informatics and innovations.	Highly consistent with the category	Consistent with the category	Some consistency with the category	Little consistency with the category	No consistency
Potential significance of contribution to nursing informatics or innovations in nursing education or nursing roles.	High potential of significant contribution	Potential of significant contribution	Some potential of significant contribution	Little potential of significant contribution	No potential
Proposal is consistent with the NLN's core values of Caring, Integrity, Diversity & Inclusion, Excellence	Highly consistent with the goals	Consistent with the goals	Some consistency with the goals	Little consistency with the goal	No consistency
Clarity of writing, i.e. jargon-free syntax	Very well written	Well written	Somewhat well written	Somewhat poorly written	Poorly written - many typos and/or grammatical errors
Clear purpose of request for funding. Narrative explanation of why they need funding and how they'll use it.	Very clear purpose	Clear Purpose	Purpose mostly clear	Purpose not clear	Purpose not included or does not meet approved use of scholarship funds
Itemized Budget, i.e. detailed breakdown of where and how award will be spent	Budget very clear/expenses detailed and well justified	Itemized but lacks detail	Some itemization	Poor budget clarity and justification	Budget not included or doesn't meet approved use of scholarship funds
Letters of recommendation support:					
1. Feasibility of completion (includes proof of approval for research, dissertation, and/or capstone project)	Highly supportive	Supportive	Somewhat supportive	Little support included	Not supported
2. Alignment with NLN core values	Highly supportive	Supportive	Somewhat supportive	Little support included	Not supported
3. Potential for significant contribution to nursing informatics or innovation	Highly supportive	Supportive	Somewhat supportive	Little support included	Not supported
Overall comments, i.e. strengths and weaknesses					